



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,336	09/24/2003	Lila Madour	P18033US2	4409
7590 ALEX NICOLAESCU Ericsson Canada Inc. Patent Department (LMC/M/P) 8400 Decarie Blvd. Town Mount Royal, QC H4P 2N2 CANADA			EXAMINER KARIKARI, KWASI	
			ART UNIT 2617	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/12/2009	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/668,336		<b>Applicant(s)</b> MADOUR, LILA	
	<b>Examiner</b> KWASI KARIKARI		<b>Art Unit</b> 2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KWASI KARIKARI. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Alex Nicolaescu. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 07 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chowdhury et al (U.S. 20040106393 A1) and Hsu et al. (U.S. 20040008632).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed claim 1 and possible amendments to clarify the claimed limitations. Examiner would consider the applicant's amendments and remarks when officially submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/KWASI KARIKARI/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/Charles N. Appiah/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617
---	---